PTO/SB/51 (10-00)
Approved for use through 12/30/00. OMB 0651-0033
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that: My residence, mailing address and citizenship are stated below next to my name. I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is described and claimed in patent number 6,152,866 "granted November 28,2000 " and for which a reissue patent is sought on the invention entitled Folding Collapsible Exercising Apparatus The specification of which I is attached hereto. was filed on		Docket Number (Optional)
My residence, mailing address and citizenship are stated below next to my name. I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is described and claimed in patent number 6,152,866granted	REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION BY THE INVENTOR	01-9676
the specification of which X is attached hereto.	My residence, mailing address and citizenship are stated below next to my name. I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is described and claimed in patent number6,152,866,grantedNovember 28, 2000, and for which a	
was filed onas reissue application number/ [If applicable] I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56. I verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid, for the reasons described below. (Check all boxes that apply.) by reason of a defective specification or drawing. by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had the right to claim in the patent. by reason of other errors. At least one error upon which reissue is based is described below. If the reissue is a broadening reissue, such must be stated with an explanation as to the nature of the broadening. In this reissue application, new claims are being added to provide broader claim protection to which applicant is entitled. As the originally filed claims were allowed in the first office action, without rejection, none of the limitations removed by the added claims were necessary to avoid any prior art rejections. Applicant failed to broadly claim his invention as a result of unintentional error. In error, the claims of the original application included many unnecessary limitations. An example of such unnecessary limitations is the recitation of the first and second U-shaped supports which are formed integral with the main shaft of the base frame. Although the U-shaped supports are provided for attaching other components of the exercising apparatus to the base frame, they are not essential to the invention. In fact, many alternate methods of attaching device components to the base frame will be readily apparent to one of	the specification of which	
was filed onas reissue application number/ (If applicable) I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56. I verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid, for the reasons described below. (Check all boxes that apply.) by reason of a defective specification or drawing. by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had the right to claim in the patent. by reason of other errors. At least one error upon which reissue is based is described below. If the reissue is a broadening reissue, such must be stated with an explanation as to the nature of the broadening: In this reissue application, new claims are being added to provide broader claim protection to which applicant is entitled. As the originally filed claims were allowed in the first office action, without rejection, none of the limitations removed by the added claims were necessary to avoid any prior art rejections. Applicant failed to broadly claim his invention as a result of unintentional error. In error, the claims of the original application included many unnecessary limitations. An example of such unnecessary limitations is the recitation of the first and second U-shaped supports which are formed integral with the main shaft of the base frame. Although the U-shaped supports are provided for attaching other components of the exercising apparatus to the base frame, they are not essential to the invention. In fact, many alternate methods of attaching device components to the base frame will be readily apparent to one of	x is attached hereto.	
as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56. I verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid, for the reasons described below. (Check all boxes that apply.) by reason of a defective specification or drawing. by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had the right to claim in the patent. by reason of other errors. At least one error upon which reissue is based is described below. If the reissue is a broadening reissue, such must be stated with an explanation as to the nature of the broadening. In this reissue application, new claims are being added to provide broader claim protection to which applicant is entitled. As the originally filed claims were allowed in the first office action, without rejection, none of the limitations removed by the added claims were necessary to avoid any prior art rejections. Applicant failed to broadly claim his invention as a result of unintentional error. In error, the claims of the original application included many unnecessary limitations. An example of such unnecessary limitations is the recitation of the first and second U-shaped supports which are formed integral with the main shaft of the base frame. Although the U-shaped supports are provided for attaching other components of the exercising apparatus to the base frame, they are not essential to the invention. In fact, many alternate methods of attaching device components to the base frame will be readily apparent to one of	was filed onas reissue application number/and was amended on	
by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had the right to claim in the patent. by reason of other errors. At least one error upon which reissue is based is described below. If the reissue is a broadening reissue, such must be stated with an explanation as to the nature of the broadening: In this reissue application, new claims are being added to provide broader claim protection to which applicant is entitled. As the originally filed claims were allowed in the first office action, without rejection, none of the limitations removed by the added claims were necessary to avoid any prior art rejections. Applicant failed to broadly claim his invention as a result of unintentional error. In error, the claims of the original application included many unnecessary limitations. An example of such unnecessary limitations is the recitation of the first and second U-shaped supports which are formed integral with the main shaft of the base frame. Although the U-shaped supports are provided for attaching other components of the exercising apparatus to the base frame, they are not essential to the invention. In fact, many alternate methods of attaching device components to the base frame will be readily apparent to one of	as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56. I verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid, for the reasons described	
by reason of other errors. At least one error upon which reissue is based is described below. If the reissue is a broadening reissue, such must be stated with an explanation as to the nature of the broadening: In this reissue application, new claims are being added to provide broader claim protection to which applicant is entitled. As the originally filed claims were allowed in the first office action, without rejection, none of the limitations removed by the added claims were necessary to avoid any prior art rejections. Applicant failed to broadly claim his invention as a result of unintentional error. In error, the claims of the original application included many unnecessary limitations. An example of such unnecessary limitations is the recitation of the first and second U-shaped supports which are formed integral with the main shaft of the base frame. Although the U-shaped supports are provided for attaching other components of the exercising apparatus to the base frame, they are not essential to the invention. In fact, many alternate methods of attaching device components to the base frame will be readily apparent to one of	by reason of a defective specification or drawing.	
At least one error upon which reissue is based is described below. If the reissue is a broadening reissue, such must be stated with an explanation as to the nature of the broadening: In this reissue application, new claims are being added to provide broader claim protection to which applicant is entitled. As the originally filed claims were allowed in the first office action, without rejection, none of the limitations removed by the added claims were necessary to avoid any prior art rejections. Applicant failed to broadly claim his invention as a result of unintentional error. In error, the claims of the original application included many unnecessary limitations. An example of such unnecessary limitations is the recitation of the first and second U-shaped supports which are formed integral with the main shaft of the base frame. Although the U-shaped supports are provided for attaching other components of the exercising apparatus to the base frame, they are not essential to the invention. In fact, many alternate methods of attaching device components to the base frame will be readily apparent to one of	X by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had the right to claim in the patent.	
In this reissue application, new claims are being added to provide broader claim protection to which applicant is entitled. As the originally filed claims were allowed in the first office action, without rejection, none of the limitations removed by the added claims were necessary to avoid any prior art rejections. Applicant failed to broadly claim his invention as a result of unintentional error. In error, the claims of the original application included many unnecessary limitations. An example of such unnecessary limitations is the recitation of the first and second U-shaped supports which are formed integral with the main shaft of the base frame. Although the U-shaped supports are provided for attaching other components of the exercising apparatus to the base frame, they are not essential to the invention. In fact, many alternate methods of attaching device components to the base frame will be readily apparent to one of	$ \cdot$	
applicant is entitled. As the originally filed claims were allowed in the first office action, without rejection, none of the limitations removed by the added claims were necessary to avoid any prior art rejections. Applicant failed to broadly claim his invention as a result of unintentional error. In error, the claims of the original application included many unnecessary limitations. An example of such unnecessary limitations is the recitation of the first and second U-shaped supports which are formed integral with the main shaft of the base frame. Although the U-shaped supports are provided for attaching other components of the exercising apparatus to the base frame, they are not essential to the invention. In fact, many alternate methods of attaching device components to the base frame will be readily apparent to one of	At least one error upon which reissue is based is described below. If the reissue is a broadening reissue, such must be stated with an explanation as to the nature of the broadening:	
unnecessary limitations is the recitation of the first and second U-shaped supports which are formed integral with the main shaft of the base frame. Although the U-shaped supports are provided for attaching other components of the exercising apparatus to the base frame, they are not essential to the invention. In fact, many alternate methods of attaching device components to the base frame will be readily apparent to one of	applicant is entitled. As the originally filed claims were allowed in none of the limitations removed by the added claims were necessary	n the first office action, without rejection, ary to avoid any prior art rejections.

U.S. Polent and Tradomerk Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1986, no persons are required to general to a collection of information unless is displays a world OMB control number. (REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION BY THE INVENTOR, page 2) Docket Number (Optional) All errors corrected in this relissue application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Registration Number Naomi Mann Esq. Daniel M. Cisio Esq. 46431 32973 Correspondence Address: Direct all communications about the application to: See Attachment Customer Number 25189 Place Customer Number Bar Type Customer Number here Code Label here Firm or Individual Name <u>Address</u> Address City State Zip Country Telephone I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine and imprisonment or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent Issuing thereon, or any patent to which this declaration is directed. Full name of sole or first inventor (given name, family name) Johnson Kuo Inventor's signature Date Residence Citizenship R.O.C Hsin I Rd., Taioci, TW Mailing Address 5F., No. 6, Lane 12, Sec. 6, Hsin J Rd., Taipel, TW Full name of second joint inventor (given name, family name) Inventor's signature Date Rosidence Citizenship Mailing Address Full name of third joint inventor (given name, family name) Inventor's signature Date Residence Citizenship Mailing Address Additional joint inventors are named on separately numbered sheets attached hereto.

[Page 2 of 2]

Best Available Copy

PTO/SB/55 (08-00) Approved for use through 12/30/2000, OMB 0651-0033
U.S. Petent and Tredemiers Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE and Buddelien And of 1985, no persons are required to respond to 8 collection of information unless it displays a valid OM3 control number. REISSUE PATENT APPLICATION Dooket Number (Optional) STATEMENT AS TO LOSS OF ORIGINAL PATENT 01-9676 I heraby state that: I am the applicant for a reissue patent based on the original patent identified below. Name of Inventor(s)/Assignee(s) Johnson Kuo Patent Number 6,152,866 Title of Invention Folding Collapsible Exercising Apparatus Reissue application number (If known) 09/884,300 The ribboned original patent grant is lost or inaccessible. Signature Typed or punted name Date Johnson Kuo Title (e.g. inventor(s), officer of assignee) Inventor

Burgen Hour-Statement: This form is estimated to take 0,05 hours to complete. Time will very depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form about be sont to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Tracemerk Office, Washington, OC 20231, DO NOT SEMD FEES ON COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, OC 20231.